Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Project Name: Brooks Station Road Reconstruction



Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only	
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs	
EOEA No.: 13600 .	
MEPA Analyst Aisling Eglington Phone: 617-626- 10 24	7
Phone: 617-626- 11 24	,

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Street: Brooks Station Rd/Boylston	Δνο		······································		
Municipality: Town of Princeton		Watershad Neshus Dive			
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Watershed: Nashua River Latitude and Longitude			
Start: 906381.4632 N 166589.4712 E	illiales.	Start: Lat: 42° 2°	gitude 7' 00" N.L. ong. 71° 53' 00" \4'.		
End: 910929.6474 N 168968.7056 E	•	Start: Lat: 42° 27' 00" N Long: 71° 53' 00" W End: Lat: 42° 26' 52" N Long: 71° 52' 37" W			
End. 910929.0474 N 100908.7056 E		Liid. Lat. 42 20	3 32 N Long. 71 32 37 VV		
Estimated commencement date: Sum '05		Estimated completion date: Fall '06			
Approximate cost: \$1,900,000		Status of project design: 75%			
Proponent: Commonwealth of Massachusetts					
10 Park Plaza					
Municipality: Boston		State: MA	Zip Code: 02116		
Name of Contact Person From Who	m Copies	of this ENF May			
Robert W. Best Jr., Environmental A	\nalyst	•	,		
Firm/Agency: Massachusetts Highw	ay	Street: 10 Park	Plaza, Room 4260		
Department			•		
Municipality: Boston		State: MA	Zip Code: 02116		
Phone: 617.973.7434	Fax: 617.973.8879		E-mail:		
			matthew.desorbo@mhd.state.ma.us		
Door this project most or evered a manufacture	l _ 4 	D. 11			
Does this project meet or exceed a mar		≺ tnresnoid (see 301 es ⊠No	CMR 11.03)?		
Has this project been filed with MEPA b		25 MINO			
Yes (EOEA No.) ⊠No			
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before?					
☐ Yes (EOEA No	-) ⊠No			
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting:					
a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) ☐ Yes ☒No					
a Special Review Procedure? (see 301CMR 11.09) ☐ Yes ☒No					
a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) ☐ Yes ☒No					
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) ☐Yes ☑No					
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including					
the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): 80% Federal Highway					
Administration Funding, 20% Massachusetts Transportation Program					
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency?					
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No					

List Local or Federal Permits and Categorical Exclusion Check List, P	Princeton Conse	rvation Commis	ssion Order of	f Conditions National Pollution
Discharge Elimination System Const Which ENF or EIR review thresh	truction General	l Permit, Storm	Water Pollution	on Prevention Plan
Land Water Energy ACEC	☐ Rare Speci ☐ Wastewate ☐ Air ☐ Regulations	er 🖺	Transportat Solid & Haz Historical & Resources	zardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	LAND			
Total site acreage				Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered				☐ Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	10.76	1.01	11.77	⊠ 401 Water Quality Certification
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		856		☐ MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		9431		☐ Water Management Act Permit
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways				☐ New Source Approval
STRU	JCTURES			☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
Gross square footage				☐ Other Permits (including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:
Number of housing units				, pp. 0100)
Maximum height (in feet)				
TRANS	PORTATION			
Vehicle trips per day	1200	0	1200	
Parking spaces				
WAST	TEWATER			
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use				
GPD water withdrawal				
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment				
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)				

<u>CONSERVATION LAND</u>: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?

☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, pres	erva	
restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?		
Yes (Specify	_)	⊠No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Ha	bitat	of Rare Species Vernal Pools Priority
Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?		are appeared, formar 1 oole, finding
⊠Yes Spotted Turtle (<i>Clemmys guttata</i>)		□No
According to a letter written by Thomas W. French, Ph. D. of the 2004, the project results in the alteration of an existing habitat The Contractor will only be allowed to work within this habitat or affect migration. As requested by DF&W the culvert will be passage is not impeded. Erosion controls will also be installed from sedimentation. A copy of this ENF will be forwarded to the comment.	as a durir insta d thre	result of the work proposed at Cobb Brook. Ig periods that will not endanger said habitat Iled within the streambed so that turtle oughout the project site to protect resources vision of Fisheries & Wildlife for their
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Commonwealth?	e pro	ject site include any structure, site or district toric and Archaeological Assets of the
Yes (Specify)	⊠No
In accordance with the Section 106 Programmatic Agreement, Unit(CRU) conducted a review of the project plans and informatistorical Commission (MHC) statewide inventory and site files State/National Register-listed properties, or National Register-earchaeological sites within or adjacent to the project area. The Register-listed properties, or National Register-eligible structure within or adjacent to the project area. Please see attached CRU	the I ation s. Th eligib e revi res, b	MassHighway Cultural Resources compiled from the Massachusetts is review was intended to identify le structures, buildings, districts or ew did not find any State/National buildings, districts or archaeological sites
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of archaeological resources?	of an	y listed or inventoried historic or
☐Yes (Specify)	□No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the Environmental Concern?		ject in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Yes (Specify)	⊠No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description s		

<u>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</u>: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

The Massachusetts Highway Department in conjunction with the town of Princeton Massachusetts proposes to reconstruct Brooks Station Road from the Princeton/Rutland Town line proceeding north to the intersection with Conner Lane and Radford Road. The project continues along Boylston Avenue to the intersection of Hubbardston Road (Route 62) and Worcester Road (Route 31), for a distance of approximately 3.4 miles. The proposed project will improve safety conditions along Brooks Station Road by standardizing lane width, adding usable shoulders, creating bicycle accommodations, improving roadway sight distance, and installation of W-beam highway guard rail. An Environmental Notification Form (ENF) is required because the proposed work involves the widening of an existing roadway by four or more feet for one-half or more miles (301 CMR 11.03(6)(b)(1b) and cutting five or more living public shade trees measuring 14 or more inches in diameter at breast height. (301 CMR 11.03(6)(b)(2b)).

Existing Conditions

Brooks Station Road is functionally classified as a Rural Minor Collector in the Town of Princeton.

The existing roadway cross section consists of one lane in each direction, which varies in width from 10.5 feet to 14 feet and grass shoulders. Existing pavement width varies from 21 feet to 28 feet. Currently sidewalks do not exist within the project limits. There are five intersections along Brook Station Road; Lovers Lane; Ball Hill Road; Matthews Lane (two locations), Conner Lane/Radford Road, and Hubbardston Road/Worcester Road. All side streets entering Brooks Station Road are controlled using stop signs. The Ball Hill Road intersection is a four-way stop intersection with a flashing red/yellow beacon supported with a span wire over the intersection. There is an active railroad crossing (Providence & Worcester Railroad) controlled by warning signs and flashing signals. Based on vehicle traffic counts preformed by MassHighway, Brooks Station Road carries approximately 1100 vehicles per day. Properties within the project corridor are primarily residential. The project is also located within 100 feet of several vegetated wetland sites.

Purpose and Need

Brooks Station Road is located in a particular rural section of the Town of Princeton, Massachusetts. Due to poor roadway drainage the roadway is in disrepair. Several cross culverts are in need of replacement. Brooks Station Road lacks standardized lane widths and roadway alignment. Sight distance is compromised by substandard geometric roadway alignment. Bicycle operations are compromised by substandard lane widths and the absence of usable roadway shoulders. This project as proposed will correct Brooks Station Road operational deficiencies as well as improve safety conditions through the corridor. This project is consistent with the "Fix It First" and "Communities First" Policies of Massachusetts by improving safety and traffic operations along a main route from the town of Rutland to the town of Princeton on an existing roadway. Because of the rural location of this project public transportation does not service this corridor. However, standardized roadway lane widths and paved shoulders will benefit school bus operations serving the residential community on Brooks Station Road. Regional transportation is greatly improved by this project by repairing a link between two neighboring towns.

Proposed Improvements

Proposed roadway improvements include existing pavement reclamation, full-depth pavement widening to include standardized lane widths and paved shoulders, replacement of existing culverts, minor geometric improvements, pavement overlay, new W-beam highway guard, installation of new roadway signs and installation of new pavement markings. The proposed typical section for the entire project will consist of one 11-foot wide travel lane with a 3 foot paved shoulder in each direction. In areas where there is W-beam guardrail, the proposed typical section will have an additional 1.6-foot gravel area

The proposed project site does not include sidewalks or pedestrian facilities. The corridor is primarily rural residential and does not warrant sidewalks. Therefore, due to the existing undeveloped state of the project site, and the absence of public support, sidewalks are not proposed as part of this project. Incorporating sidewalks into this corridor would increase impacts to wetland resources as well as require right-of-way takings. A sidewalk is proposed however, along Boylston Avenue from the Route 62 intersection to a driveway that serves a senior center, a distance of approximately 650 feet. Existing driveways will be maintained. All existing driveways will be paved from the edge of pavement to the right-of-way line as directed by the Resident Construction Engineer.

A majority of the proposed work is located within the 100-foot buffer zone at several locations throughout the project corridor. Direct impacts to wetland resources will occur with the complete replacement of all cross culverts and head walls. There is approximately 856 sq ft of existing Bordering Vegetated Wetland (BVW) that will be altered as a result of drainage improvements. It is intended to replicate the impacted BVW at the specific location of loss. Riprap will be placed as a part of the culvert replacement activities as scour protection. A

Notice of Intent was filed with the Princeton Conservation Commission, subsequently the Commission granted an Order of Condition for the proposed project on April 19, 2005. The Order of Condition was granted for the entire proposed project including the replacement of culverts, work within the buffer zone of wetland resource areas and drainage system modifications. MassHighway will file a Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Individual Water Quality Certificate, as well as obtain clearance under the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Programmatic General Permit for the cumulative impacts to wetland resource areas: 9,431 square feet of temporary impacts to land under water and 856 square feet permit impacts to BVW. The proposed project will involve over 1 acre of earth disturbance and therefore, a Stormwater Construction General Permit in accordance with EPA's NPDES Phase II is required. In addition, a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan is required. MassHighway will obtain all required permits to construct the project.

The existing drainage system consists of catch basins collecting surface runoff and transporting drainage to a point of discharge. Since there is no curb or berm along Brooks Station Road, a majority of the storm runoff sheet flows off the road surface across the shoulder into grass swales before entering streams or wetland areas.

As part of the project, and at the request of the town DPW, all of the existing catch basins will be removed and outlet pipes abandoned. It is the intent to maintain the country drainage and utilize grass swales to deliver storm runoff to streams and wetland areas in order to reduce maintenance costs and effort to the town.

Mitigation will include installation of temporary hay bales and silt fence along the top or toe of slopes, adjacent to the BVW during and after the construction period until such time as slopes are stabilized with permanent vegetation. Mitigation of the existing stream during construction will include the installation of a turbidity barrier at the downstream end and stone check dams where required.

<u>Alternatives Analysis</u>: Several other alternatives for this project were studied including the following:

- Alternative 1 consisted of only cold planning and resurfacing the existing roadway. This
 alternative did not include storm water drainage improvements or the addition of paved
 shoulders. This alternative was not selected because it did not address the following:
 Safety issues associated with roadway width and the absence of paved shoulders, failed
 stormwater system allowing untreated storm water to enter wetland resources adjacent
 to the roadway, and the collapsing cross culverts located under the roadway.
- 2. Alternative 2 consisted of maintaining the existing roadway structure and patching deteriorated sections of existing road with new pavement. This alternative was not selected because it did not address the following: Safety issues associated with roadway width and the absence of paved shoulders, failed stormwater system allowing untreated storm water to enter wetland resources adjacent to the roadway. This alternative did not address the collapsing cross culverts located under the roadway. If this alternative was selected the road would continue to deteriorate to a condition that would disrupt regional transportation between two communities.

<u>LAND SECTION</u> – all proponents must fill out this section

I. Thresholds / Permits